IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

GRACE M. ADAMS,

DOCKETED SEP 0 6 2000 00C 5457

v.

CITY COLLEGES OF CHICAGO,

Defendant.

NO. JUDGE RONALD GUZMAN MAGISTRATE JUDGE SCHENKIER

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, GRACE M. ADAMS, by and through her attorney TIMOTHY J. COFFEY, brings this Complaint under the 'Age' Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended, 29 J.SEC. Section 621, et seq. (hereafter the "ADEA"), and in support thereof states as follows:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over this Complaint in that the claims made herein arise under the ADEA.
- 2. The unlawful employment practices complained of below were committed within Cook County, Illinois. Therefore, venue is proper in this Court.
- 3. Plaintiff, Grace M. Adams (hereafter "Grace"), is an individual residing in the City of Chicago, County of Cook, Illinois. She was born on January 15, 1948, and is presently 52 years old.
- 4. Defendant, City Colleges of Chicago (hereafter "CCC"), is either an Illinois municipal corporation, or an Illinois non-profit corporation authorized to conduct business in Illinois, and doing business through various member colleges at various locations throughout Chicago, Illinois.

- 5. CCC is engaged in an industry affecting commerce, as defined in Section 11(h) of the ADEA, 29 U.S.C. 630(h). CCC has employed 20 or more employees for each working day in each of twenty or more calendar weeks in the current or preceding calendar year. CCC is thus an "employer" within the meaning of the ADEA.
- 6. Grace is and has been an employee of CCC since 1974. She presently works at CCC member Olive Harvey College, 10001 S. Woodlawn, Chicago, IL 60628, in the full-time position of Secretary I in the Office of the Vice President of Student Services. Also, since January, 1996, in addition to her full-time position as Secretary I, Grace has worked for CCC as a part-time Counselor also at Olive Harvey College.
- 7. In or around June, 1998, Grace applied for a full-time counselor position with CCC at Olive Harvey College. Out of 49 applicants, the Counselor Search Committee, chaired by Mr. Daniel T. Parker, the Counseling Department Chairperson, recommended Grace for the job. CCC hired Grace for the job; however it subsequently, and unilaterally, decided that it would not fill the full-time Counselor position at that time.
- 8. In or around June, 1999, Grace again applied for the full-time Counselor position with CCC at Olive Harvey College. In July, 1999, the selection committee again recommended Grace as its first choice for the job. After Grace, the committee ranked the following individuals second, third and fourth choice, respectively: Carmen Holmes (DOB: 04-12-51), Sharon Tolliver (DOB: 11-14-49), and Sherri Morrow (DOB:10-13-66).

9. In or around August, 1999, CCC selected applicant Sherri Morrow for the full-time Counselor position, and offered her the job. On infirmation and belief, Ms. Morrow accepted CCC's offer and has been working in the new position since August, 1999.

- 10. Grace was more qualified for the full-time Counselor position than Ms. Morrow.
- 11. Grace had more relevant work experience for the full-time Counselor position than Ms. Morrow.
- 12. Grace had, and has, a doctorate degree in education whereas Ms. Morrow did not and, on information and belief, does not.
- 13. Grace's work performance in all of the positions she has held at CCC has been exemplary.
- 14. Grace filed a charge of age discrimination against CCC with the District Office of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission on or about October 28, 1999. The EEOC issued its "Notice of Right to Sue" on June 7, 2000, entitling Grace to institute a civil action with respect to her charge within 90 days of the date of receipt of said notice. This complaint has been filed within 90 days of such issuance. Grace's EEOC charge and the EEOC right to sue letter are attached hereto as Exhibits A and B, respectively.
- 15. On information and belief, Grace's age, 51 at the time of CCC's decision, was a determining factor in CCC's decision to not offer her the full-time Counselor position, and, instead, to offer the position to Sherri Morrow.

16. The above described conduct by CCC was malicious and/or with reckless disregard and indifference to Grace's rights.

17. As a direct and proximate result of CCC's illegal conduct described above, Grace has suffered a loss of wages, a loss of employment benefits associated with the full-time Counselor position, and a loss of future job opportunities both within CCC, and outside of CCC.

Wherefore, Grace prays unto this Honorable Court as follows:

- A. Order Defendant City Colleges of Chicago to make Grace whole by paying her appropriate lost back pay, lost employee benefits, out-of-pocket expenses, and pre-judgment interest in an amount to be shown at trial;
- B. Order CCC to promote Grace to a full-time Counselor position, or an equal or greater position; or, in the alternative, order CCC to pay Grace an appropriate amount of front pay;
- C. Order CCC to pay Grace liquidated damages in an amount equal to any back pay award plus lost interest;
- D. Order CCC to pay Grace punitive and compensatory damages in the maximum amount allowable by law;
- E. Order CCC to pay Grace's costs incurred in bringing this action, including, but not limited to, expert witness fees and reasonable attorneys fees;
 - F. Try all issues of fact to a jury; and,

Grant such other relief as the Court deems just. G.

> Respectfully Submitted, GRACE M. ADAMS, Plaintiff,

TIMOTHY / KOFFEY, Attorney for GRACE M. ADAMS

and the same of the Market Market Reported and the Cooper and the graph and the second and the same figures and the

TIMOTHY J. COFFEY, ESQ. Attorney for GRACE M. ADAMS 33 N. LaSalle Street Suite 3300 Chicago, IL 60602 (312) 364-9000

<u>Case:</u> 1:00-cv-05457 Document #: 1 Filed: 09/05/00 Page 6 of 9 PageID #:6 CHARG')F DISCRIMINATION This form is affected by the Pr. _y Act of 1974; See Privacy Act Statement before AGENCY CHARGE NUMBER FEPA EEO¢ 210A00386 Illinois Dept. of Human Rights State or local Agency, if any and EEOC NAME (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.) HOME TELEPHONE (Include Area Code Ms. Grace M. Adams STREET ADDRESS (*77*3) 483-4731 CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE 8608 South Calumet, Chicago, IL 60619

NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY APPRENTICESHIP COMMITTEE STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME (If more than one list below.) NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES, MEMBERS | TELEPHONE (Include Area Code City Colleges Of Chicago STREET ADDRESS Cat D (501 +) (773) CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE 291<u>-610</u>0 Olive Harvey College COUNTY 10001 S. Woodlawn, Chicago, 60628 TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code) STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZIP CODE COUNTY CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es)) DATE DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE COLOR SEX RELIGION MATIONAL ORIGIN **EARLIEST** RETALIATION LATEST X AGE DISABILITY DOTHER (Specify) 10/28/1999 08/05/1999 THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional space is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):

I. I was hired by the above named Respondent in 1974, as a Secretary. My most recent position is Secretary I in the Office of the Dean of Student Services. Since January 1996, I began a part-time Counselor position in the evenings concurrent with my fulltime position. about June 1999, I applied for a fulltime Counselor position which stated that "Doctorate Preferred". Shortly after I applied, I successfully defended and completed my Ph.D. program. On or about August 5, 1999, I learned that I was not selected for the position. or about August 6, 1999, I learned that I was the top candidate On recommended for the position by the selection committee. I was told that I was not selected because I did not interview well with the Vice President of Academic Affairs. I was told during my grievance that "fair and equitable hiring procedures have been maintained in conjunction with normal and anticipated administrative I believe that I was discriminated against because of my age, 51 (date of birth: January 15, 1948), in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended, in that I was not selected for the promotion to the Counselor position, whereas a younger, less qualified and lower ranked, candidate was selected for the position. I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or NOTARY - (When necessary for State and Local Requirements) local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or telephone number and cooperate fully with them in the I swear or affirm that I have read the above charge and that processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures. it is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT

EXHIBIT

Charging Party (Signature)

(Month, day and year)

<u>CHICAGO DISTRICT OFFICE</u>

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE

Case: 1:00-cv-05457 Document #: 1 Filed: 09/05/00 Page 7 of 9 PageID #:7

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

	NOTICE OF RIC	GHT TO SUE						
To: CERTIFIED MAIL NO.	(Issued on i	request)						
OZIVILIZED WATE NO.:	Z-346-545-177 C/P	From:						
Grace M. Adams		Equal Employment Opp	Equal Employment Opportunity Commission					
1	8608 South Calumet		Chicago District Office					
Chicago, Illinois 6061	19		500 West Madison Street, Suite 2800					
			Chicago, Illinois 60661-2511					
On behalf of a person aggries (29 C.F.R. 1601.7(a))	On behalf of a person aggrieved whose identity is		1-2577					
Charge Number	FEOC B							
210A00386	EEOC Repres	sentative k, Enforcement Supervisor	Telephone Number					
(See the additional information attac	ined to this form)		(312) 353-1316					
TO THE PERSON AGGRIEVED: This is named in your charge, YOU MUST DO SO RIGHT TO SUE IS LOST.	your NOTICE OF RIGHT TO S WITHIN NINETY (90) DAY	SUE. It is issued at your request. If you it's OF YOUR RECEIPT OF THIS NO	intend to sue the respondent(s) TICE: OTHERWISE YOUR					
More than 180 days have expir	red since the filing of this charge	· e.						
Less than 180 days have expired its process within 180 days from	d since the filing of this charge, but the filing of the charge.	ut I have determined that the Commissi	on will be unable to complete					
With the issuance of this NOTI	CE OF RIGHT TO SUE, the Co	ommission is terminating its process w						
70.50		with a start of the start of th	ith respect to this charge.					
It has been determined that the	Commission will continue to inv	vestigate your charge.						
	ADA require EEOC to issue this n Employment Act (ADEA) any s completed action on your cha	s notice of right to sue before you can to time 60 days after your charge was fi arge.	oring a lawsuit, you may sue iled until 90 days after you					
Because EEOC is closing notice. Otherwise, your ri	g your case, your lawsuit under ght to sue is lost.	the ADEA must be brought within 90	days of your receipt of this					
EEOC is continuing its i	nvestigation. You will be notifit to sue under the ADEA.	ed when we have completed action an	d, if appropriate, our notice					
EPA: While Title VII and the AI have the right to sue under the Eq an EPA suit in court). EPA suits	DA require EEOC to issue this N qual Pay Act (EPA) (You are not must be brought within 2 years (lotice of Right to Sue before you can be required to complain to any enforcem (3 years for willful violations) of the al	oring a lawsuit, you already ent agency before bringing lleged EPA underpayment.					
Enclosures Information Sheet Copy of Charge	<u>06</u>	On Behalf of the Common P. Rowe, District I	Rome					
cc: Respondent(s) City Colleges of Chicago Olive Harvey College								
EEOC Form 161-B (Test 10/94)								
		=						

EXHIBIT

Case: 1	:00-cv-05457 Do	ocument #: 1 F	iled: 09/05/00 Page	e 8 of 9 PageID #:8	3
JS 44 (Rev. 12/96)	lat 2	CIVIL	COVER SHI		545 7
The JS-44 civil cover s by law, except as provide	heet and the information ded by local rules of cou	contained herein ne	ither replace nor supplement	■ ■ I the filing and service of bl	eadings or other papers as rec
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	- I F	u ie civii docket snee	d by the Judicial Conference t. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON	of the United States in Sep THE PROVERSE OF THE FO	eadings or other papers as rectember 1974, is required for the
(2) - 2 (2)	MCO M.	A	DEFENDAN	IS JODGE KONA	LD GUZMAN
		rance		ry Couces	of Chagoc
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE	DE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF EPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF (Cook	MAGISTRATE :	TUDGE SCHENKIER	Cook
193. 21	and the state of t	CASES	f	(IN U.S. PLAINTIES C.	
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAM	ME. ADDRESS, AND TELEPHON	IE NUMBER)	ATTORNEYS (IF KNO		
312- 11M0 364- 33		CORBY 15, 970. 3		SEP 0 6 20	00
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (PLACE A	N "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(PLACE AN "X TN ONE BOX FOR PLAIN
□ 1 U.S. Government	Federal Question	· on		PTF DEF	AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)
Plaintiff ☐ 2 U.S. Government	(U.S. Governr ☐ 4 Diversity	ment Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	oi busine	of or Principal Place 0 4 c
Defendant	(Indicate Citize in Item III)	enship of Parties	Citizen of Another State	of Busine	dand Principal Place □ 5 □ ss Th Another State
IV. ORIGIN			Citizen or Subject of Foreign Country	a □ 3 □ 3 Foreign Nat	iœြေး မှ ဓ⊓ ော
Original 2 Re	moved from ☐ 3 Ren te Court App	nanded from	Tellistated of Tanoth	sferred from ner district	Appeal to Dist
V. NATURE OF SUIT			Reopened (spec	cify) Litigation	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENAI	TY BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	PERSONAL INJUI 362 Personal Injury Med. Malpractic	- □ 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust
☐ 140 Negotiable instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	of Property 21 USC	e	430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Slander Slander Slander Employers' Llability	☐ 368 Asbestos Person Injury Product Li	A PRANCO O Trusts	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud	TY G60 Occupational Safety/Health	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders'Suits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	690 Other	☐ 840 Trademark	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge
1 190 Other Contract 1 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	Property Damage 385 Property Damage	e	SOCIAL SECURITY	12 USC 3410
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	Product Liability PRISONER PETITI	Act	□ 862 Black Lung (923) ns □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act ☐ 893 Environmental Matters
210 Land Condemnation	U 441 Voting	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		REAL SCIP THE VIII	□ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence HABEAS CORPUS: 530 General	☐ 740 Raliway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justic
245 Tort Product Liability 290 Ali Other Real Property	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth ☐ 550 Clvii Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition	er 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. inc. Security Act	□ 876 Taxes (U.S. PlaIntiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS — Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes □ 890 Other Statutory Actions
I. CAUSE OF ACTIO	N (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STAT		RE FILING AND WRITE BRIEF STATES	MENT OF CAUSE.	
	fOEA #	1967, 2	19 USL 3621	tsog.	
II. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT	CHECK IF THIS IS	A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES or	nly if demanded in complaint
III. This case	is not a refiling of a		action	JURY DEMAI	ND: ES INO
	is a refiling of case n		, previously dismissed by	/ Judge	1
DATE 9_[-/	2-7	SIGNATURE OF ATTO	ORNEY OF RECORD	1/1/1/	<i>//</i>
1-7-0				~//////////	111
UNITED STATES DISTRICT				11 14	/ //

Case: 1:00-cv-05457 Document #: 1 Filed: 09/05/00 Page 9 of 9 PageID #:9

UN ED STATES DISTRICT COU NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

In the Matter of

00C 5457

CRACE ADAMS UUU 5457

CASE Number:

CASE NUM

APPEARANCES ARE HER	EBY 1	FILE	D B	Y THE U	NDERSIGNED AS ATTORNEY(S	FOR:	ט פט	ZMA	IN
	a at a		3 (2) 34 (3)	(ÓR)	ACCS ADAMS MAGISTRAT	E JUDO	ie se	HEM	ZIED.
	"							-141	UER
1 (A)	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	DOCKETED (B)	·			
SIGNATURE		/		Ma	SIGNATURE (B)				
NAME			4		SEP 0 6 2000				
(MOTTHY)		7 ~ K		-	NAME			}	
FIRM C	<u> </u>	<u>~~^</u>	7-€	2 \(\)		د	C	<u> </u>	
(SAMOS)					FIRM	SISTR	-	9 =	=
STREET ADDRESS	15				STREET ADDRESS	- =			7
CITY/STATE/ZIP	#(((Q					RICT	ž ¬	ם ה	:
CHEC -		60	60	>>	CITY/STATE/ZIP	COU			.
TELEPHONE NUMBER	FAX	VUMBER			TELEPHONE NUMBER		د.	•	
312-364- 9000 E-MAIL ADDRESS	13/2	<u>-3</u>	<u>64</u>	- 9140		FAXA	UMBER	รั	
2-WILL ADDRESS					E-MAIL ADDRESS	1-3	<u>_</u>		
IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSI	9 / 6				IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE)			_	
6226	168	40			TEMPORATION NOMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE)				
MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES	A	NO	· 🗆	MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES		NO	
TRIAL ATTORNEY?	YES	K	NO		TRIAL ATTORNEY?	YES		NO	
			**		DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?	YES		· .	
(C)								мо	
SIGNATURE		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	SIGNATURE (D)				
NAME									
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					NAME				
FIRM					FIRM			<u>.</u>	
TTREET ADDRESS	·		. <u>. </u>						
STREET ADDRESS			STREET ADDRESS						
CITY/STATE/ZIP			CUTANT		• •				
					CITY/STATE/ZIP	· · · · · · · · ·			
ELEPHONE NUMBER	FAX NUM	ÆER.		A consecution of the territory of the	TELEPHONE NUMBER	FAVARR	-		
-MAIL ADDRESS					FAX NUI	ABER			
-WALL ADDRESS					E-MAIL ADDRESS	<u> </u>			
DENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE)			-,		IDENTIFICATION VIDENTE				
					IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE)				
EMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES		NO		MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES		+-	7)
UAL ATTORNEY?	YES		NO		TRIAL ATTORNEY?	·		 	4)
SIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?	YES [YES		No	U
	٠ ا	J	NO		DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?				